



STOREY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

Thursday February 7, 2019 6:00 p.m.
Storey County Courthouse, District Courtroom
26 South B Street, Virginia City, NV

MEETING MINUTES

CHAIRMAN: Jim Hindle

VICE-CHAIRMAN: John Herrington

COMMISSIONERS:

Larry Prater, Kris Thompson, Laura Kekule, Summer Pellett, Jim Collins

-
- 1. Call to Order:** The meeting was called to order by the Chairman at 6:00 P.M.
 - 2. Roll Call:** Jim Hindle, Larry Prater, Summer Pellett, Laura Kekule, Kris Thompson, John Herrington, Jim Collins
Also Present: Planning Director Osborne, Planner Kathy Canfield, and Deputy D.A. Keith Loomis.
 - 3. Pledge of Allegiance:** The Chairman led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.
 - 4. Discussion/Possible Action:** Approval of Agenda for February 7, 2019.
Motion: Approve agenda for February 7, 2019, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by** Commissioner Pellett, **Seconded by** Commissioner Thompson, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote (**summary:** Yes=7).
 - 5. Discussion/Possible Action:** SUP 2019-001 by Aaron and Stacy Grimes. The applicants request a Special Use Permit (2019-001) to operate a home enterprise. The home enterprise will consist of beekeeping (with a maximum of 20 hives), a greenhouse to grow produce and an Aqua farm structure for raising fish. No retail sales of product will occur at the site. The property is approximately 2.70 acres in size and is located at 144 Elizabeth Lane, Mark Twain, Storey County, Nevada, Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 003-314-23.

See this link for a complete staff report on this item.

http://www.storeycounty.org/AgendaCenter/ViewFile/Agenda/_02072019-791

Planner Canfield: Summarized the request from the applicants. The applicants have requested 20 hives on the property. Staff is recommending 10 due to potential impacts to the surrounding property owners. Storey County has no regulations for beekeeping. NRS has regulations but only pertaining to the health of bees and transporting bees. It does not address how many hives are appropriate for the size of a property. Said she spoke with the State Entomologist with the Department of Agriculture. He didn't have knowledge of this particular case but he said there are too many variables to determine how many hives are appropriate for the size of a property. Bees typically forage within a 2 mile radius of the

hive. Said she spoke with nuisance officers from Washoe County and the City of Reno to see what their experiences have been with beekeeping in their jurisdictions. They also don't have any regulations for this, but they have had calls regarding beekeeping. The complaint usually involved the bees not having a water source onsite. The bees would use the neighbor's fountain, pool or pond, etc. There were also instances where hives were placed too close to property lines. They said that providing a water source for the bees generally ended the nuisance complaints. Carson City and the City of Henderson have ordinances regarding beekeeping. Carson City allows up to 10 hives for properties between 2 and 5 acres and Henderson allows up to 6 for properties between 2 and 5 acres. Because Storey County does not have an ordinance regarding beekeeping, staff is recommending 10 hives be allowed on the property, and if the applicants would like to add more hives, this can be revisited in 2 years. Staff received an email from the Lyon County Planning Department supporting staff's recommendation and list of conditions of approval. Staff also received an email from a neighbor with some concerns. It was noticed to the public that no retail sales would be allowed on the property. The applicants would like to have the option to allow retail sales to occur occasionally onsite. Because the public notice did not include this request, the special use permit will need to be continued to the next meeting if onsite sales are desired, or the applicants will have to submit a new request to add retail sales onsite to the special use permit.

Points of discussion between staff, commission members, the applicant and the public included the following:

- Concerns with number of hives in residential neighborhood and safety of children and people that may be allergic to bee stings.
- Water availability for green house and aqua farm. State regulates water usage. 2 Acre feet per year is allowed.
- Noticing of the public included 40 surrounding properties. NRS noticing requirements are to notify any property within 300 feet. Properties in Mark Twain Estates are one acre minimum.
- Foraging area of bees can be up to 5 miles more or less (Aaron Grimes).
- Bees generally docile while swarming.
- Vegetation limitations in Mark Twain for foraging bees.
- Water source for bees is important in keeping them near the hive with the exception of foraging.
- Potential to require liability insurance for the beekeeping enterprise.
- Colonies of bees follow the queen.
- Importance of bees for pollination.
- Whether or not to continue this item to next meeting or take action on what is proposed here tonight and ask the applicants to apply for an amendment to allow retail sales onsite. It was decided by the applicants to move forward with only what was proposed on the public notice and agenda.
- General Provisions Home Enterprises states that 7 or more visits per week are allowed with a special use permit for a home enterprise. This would mean that 6 or less are allowed? (Commissioner Pellett brought up this topic).

Commissioner Kekule told the applicants that she is their neighbor and lives on Julie Way. She stated concerns with the two mile foraging radius when water is an issue. Asked the applicant how the water source will be satisfied. Said she has desert foliage and her house is surrounded by Lavender which bees love. Said she already has lots of bees all the time. Concerned with swarming. Said that she has dogs that spend a lot of time outside. Also has concerns with retail sales at the property and traffic. Neighborhood doesn't have businesses with onsite sales. The community has children using the playground in the summer and also girl scouts and boy scouts use the community center.

Commissioner Thompson: Asked what portion of the bees leave the hive and go onto neighboring properties, and are there any children's playgrounds within the 2 mile radius. Asked if a permit can require liability insurance in case of any injury caused by the bees.

Planner Canfield: The community center has a playground and is within the two mile radius, but there is nothing in that block or next to the property.

Commissioner Herrington: Asked if the notices were sent to all properties within the 2 mile foraging radius. Concerned with someone that may be deluged with bees that weren't noticed of the request.

Planner Canfield and Planning Assistant Lyndi Renaud: Said that 40 properties were noticed within about 475 feet of the Grimes property. NRS requires to notice 30 unique property owners and within 300 feet of the property.

Commissioner Pellet: Asked if it is the beekeeping request that triggered the special use permit. Said she is familiar with beekeeping, but bets that the applicants are more familiar. Would like to hear from the applicants regarding some of these issues.

Planner Canfield: It was the beekeeping as a home enterprise that could potentially have an impact to surrounding properties that satisfied the requirement for the special use permit.

Stacy and Aaron Grimes, applicants: Stacy said they had a business in Washoe County at their home in Sun Valley. Just a mom and pop business of beekeeping and cottage foods such as jams and jellies that she makes. Stacy said that Aaron is disabled and he is the beekeeper. She said they sell honey and cottage food products at special events. They occasionally have a customer come by to purchase products. She said they plan on keeping this a small operation, just the two of them running it. **Aaron** explained the water source for the bees would be a kiddie pool filled with rocks and a fountain to circulate the water over the rocks. He said that you can't just put out a pool for the bees because they will drown. If there is a water source close to the hives which is easy to use, the bees are more likely to stay close to the hives. He had originally asked for 20 hives because the minimum is 12 to breakeven with the cost of maintaining them. He said he would like to be at 15 hives at least to make it sustainable. He said he also does swarm control and may have a few extra hives on occasion. Bees swarm in a colony and swarm removal is needed if the bees swarm and may make their home in locations which may be inappropriate. He and Stacy explained in detail bee behavior and how colonies follow the queen. The bees will pollinate the native vegetation in addition to neighboring foliage. They said they do not have any Africanized bees. Said they have rented out their bees, not this year, but have in the past.

Commissioner Pellett: Said that she has heard that bees are docile while swarming, and shared a story about a swarm that was removed at her son's preschool. A beekeeper came and removed the swarm and also talked to the kids about the bees. Very informational.

Commissioner Prater: Asked Aaron how many hives he kept at the Washoe County location and were there any complaints.

Aaron Grimes, applicant: Said that at one point they had 16 hives. The property was a third of an acre. Said they had one neighbor that complained the bees were drinking out of their birdfeeder. Said they increased the water source and it took care of the problem. Kept bees there for about 4 years. Said that they have a producer's certificate from the department of Agriculture to produce and sell honey.

Chairman Hindle: Asked if there is a method in which you can control the bees from leaving the hives and swarming somewhere else. He said he has lived next to bees and never had issues. Asked about the aquafarm because he has dealt with the aqua culture industry and aquafarms use a lot of water.

Aaron and Stacy Grimes, applicants: Answered that there is not a way to prevent them from foraging and swarming elsewhere, but they intend to pass out business cards to the neighbors. If the bees swarm elsewhere, they will come get them. Stacy said that she will plant lots of lavender to encourage bees to stay close to the hives. She also uses the lavender in her jellies and jams. The lavender serves a dual purpose. She said she has a Cottage Food license from the State.

Aaron said that for the "aquaponics" he would be growing lettuce on floating beds. The fish would provide fertilizer. Hope to start building the greenhouse in May. The greenhouse will be accessible to the bees. Would like to build the aquafarm within a year or so.

Discussion between commission members, applicants and staff regarding the following issues:

-Water availability and metering of the well. State has control over this issue. Applicants said their well is around 167 feet and has been tested.

-Aquafarms use a lot of water. Applicant plans on capturing water through a gutter system on the home to help. Applicant stated that raising fish in the aqua farm will be for fertilization purposes for the vegetables.

-Applicants would like to include retail sales onsite, but the request was noticed to the public to state “no retail sales of product to occur at the site”. Applicants may have to apply for a SUP amendment for this additional request.

Commissioner Thompson: Asked the applicants if they are required to have liability insurance for the beekeeping business. The applicants answered that they are required to have liability insurance for the business of selling goods at markets, but not specifically for beekeeping.

Commissioner Kekule: Asked the applicants how they plan to canvass the neighborhood and let everyone know about the operation. Applicants answered that they would like suggestions on how to do that because they were told they could not hand out flyers or anything promotional. Said they have talked to some surrounding neighbors.

Public Comment:

Mark Twain resident, (name inaudible): Said he has no objection to the request except for the number of hives (20). His wife is scared of bees and his sister is allergic to them (bee stings). Said they have a fish pond which will attract the bees. Other than the number of hives, he said he has no objection.

Beriah Vetter, neighbor across street from Grimes: Concerned with the swarming because the majority of neighbors live in mobile homes which are easily accessible to bees. Many outbuildings, animals and pets live in the area. Concerned with damage that swarming can cause, and who would be responsible for that. Said that they were not approached by the Grimes. Learned about this from the notice in the mail. Said that 20 hives seems excessive, but is still concerned with the Grimes having even 10 hives. Thinks it is important for the Grimes to have insurance in case something happens.

Rick Taylor, father of Beriah and lives in Dayton: Said his family had 4 hives when he was a kid. Said that they had a half acre property and a garden. It was covered in bees from just 4 hives. His dad was bit and spent 3 days in the hospital and almost died. Difficult to keep bees from swarming or “splitting”. Told about different things bees do and the difficulty in keeping them at the hive. Talked about his experience growing up with bees and the different variety of bees there are. Thinks there is a liability issue with having 20 hives in a residential neighborhood.

Steve Massicote, lives on Wagon Wheel: Welcomed the Grimes to the Mark Twain area. Said that this is a residential area and should not be allowed to have 3 businesses on a property. If they (Grimes) wanted to have a business, they should have bought a commercial property which would not infringe upon a residential zone. Concerned because there are kids, dogs, cats and wildlife in this area, and some people are allergic to bee stings. Many children live and go to school in the foraging radius. Wants Mark Twain to stay a residential zone, not allow businesses except within a home, not outside. Also concerned with water use required for the green house and aqua farm.

Commissioner Pellett: Asked Steve Massicote how many hives he would be comfortable with for a “backyard beekeeper” doing this as a hobby. She said that 2500 square feet of lot area per hive is the general requirement. There are 43,560 square feet in an acre. Said she thinks beekeeping should be an allowed use.

Steve Massicote: Said that research needs to be done to confirm that, and one or two hives are enough as a hobby, even four is too much. A commercial use should be done away from residents to a place in the country so as not to infringe residential zoning. Also concerned with the potential size of the greenhouse proposed.

Commissioner Kekule: Said she has seen beehives next to the golf course and they are placed fairly far apart. Asked the applicants how far apart the hives need to be.

Chairman Hindle: Asked about the kind of damage a swarm can do to a residential structure. Said that yellow jackets tend to damage wood. Also asked the applicant about the different species of bees that they have.

Aaron Grimes: Hives will be on racks of about 14 feet long with about 5 on each rack. For the most part the bees stay with the colony. There may be some drifting due to wind, but they generally stay with the colony. They may be killed if they go to another colony due to the bees protecting their queen. Said that the bees will not just be foraging in people’s yards, but will be foraging in the desert on native vegetation. Said he’s planning on a size for the greenhouse of probably 30 x 40 feet.

When bees swarm depending on how long they are in a location, they may start a honeycomb which can be scraped off, but may leave a little paint damage.

Most of the bees in the United States right now are Italian bees. Some people are raising Russian bees because they are hardier. Italian bees are the most common. Said they use Italian and Carnelian bees.

Commissioner Collins: Asked Kathy if there is any zoning difference between this operation being commercial or just done as a hobby, and is there a limit to hives with this differentiation.

Planner Canfield: Storey County doesn't have any rules regarding how many hives a beekeeper can have, and the state's rules relate transportation of bees, not number of hives allowed.

Commissioner Thompson: Asked Deputy D.A. Loomis if the bees cause injuries to children due to allergies, and as someone has pointed out, how do you prove where the bee that stung came from, is there any real ability by the county to use the nuisance enforcement to take action?

Deputy D.A. Loomis: Stated that the special use permit comes in under the idea that under 17.12.023, C.5, which provides that "Produce noise, odor, dust, smoke, light, vehicular traffic, or other disturbances that would adversely affect the health, safety, or general welfare of surrounding residences, or the residential character of the surrounding area, without proper mitigation". Agreed that yes it would be difficult to identify the source of the injury. The major risk is the potential for harm to individuals that are allergic to bee stings.

Commissioner Kekule: Commented that it is very hard to predict where the bees are going to forage. Still concerned with water availability. Greenhouses require a lot of water.

Commissioner Pellet: Asked a question of the applicants on whether or not, if allowed 10 hives, the hives will be full. Said that she has friends that keep bees recreationally, and only have about 5 hives, but they are not all full.

Aaron Grimes: Answered that it varies. Some hives may have more than others.

Additional Discussion:

Differences between someone wanting a greenhouse (accessory use) on a property for their own use versus someone wanting one for a home enterprise. Determination on whether or not there is an impact on the surrounding residential properties, is a consideration in issuing the special use permit. Concerns with the aqua farm were raised and discussed.

Planner Canfield and Planning Director Osborne: Told that applicants when before they submitted the application to apply for whatever they had planned in one application. The planning commission can adjust as they see fit at the meeting. Domestic wells are allowed two acre feet of water per year. The State Engineer's office keeps an eye on things and if they believe that two acre feet in a year is being drawn, then they might require a meter on the well. The State Engineer's office may say that the applicants can't do this in a residential area. Staff is deferring that to their office as it is their jurisdiction.

Commissioner Collins: Commented that he built an accessory dwelling for someone in Mark Twain and the State required them to put a meter on the well to make sure they weren't using more than two acre feet of water.

Public Comment: Rick Taylor, Dayton resident: Discussed his opinion on the differences between agricultural bees and bees simply living on a piece of property. Opposes the request.

Aaron Grimes: Answered to Rick Taylor that they do move their bees around, just didn't this past year due to personal circumstances. Took them to California to almond orchards to forage. From year to year it is hardly ever the same bees in each colony. They swarm or die off, come and go, etc. Said that he is an agricultural pollinator and beekeeper. The bees will pollinate most of the native plants and flowers in the area.

Commissioner Kekule: Said she doesn't feel that she knows enough and thinks more research is needed before she can make a decision. The applicants are in a residential neighborhood and every beekeeping outfit other than small use takes up a lot of area. Said she thinks we need more information on beekeeping and potential impacts.

Commissioner Herrington: Commented that the conditions state that the applicant has two years before consideration to increasing the hive limit to 20. If the neighbors have a problem with this, they have to deal with it for two years before something can be done.

Planner Canfield: Said that isn't necessarily the case. There is a nuisance process than can be followed. Staff is suggesting that before this is approved beyond 10 hives, two seasons of operation are complete in order to see if there have been any impacts to the community. After two years, the applicant would then be able to request an amendment to the SUP to allow for 20 hives. If there are negative impacts right away. The nuisance process would apply. There is also an option of conditioning the SUP to include an annual review requirement.

Commissioner Thompson: Said that he thinks if there was a problem with children or people getting stung, the State Department of Public Health would become involved.

Recessed from 7:33 until 7:39.

After discussion between the applicants, staff and the commission, the decision was made to have action tonight on the request which included the wording “no retail sales of product will occur at the site”. The applicants may submit another application to amend the SUP to include retail sales at a later date if they so desire.

First motion was made by Commissioner Pellett.

Motion: In accordance with the recommendation by staff, the findings of fact under Section 3.A of this report, and other findings deemed appropriate by the Planning Commission, and in compliance with the conditions of approval, I Summer Pellett, recommend approval of Special Use Permit 2019-001 to operate a home enterprise. The home enterprise will consist of beekeeping (with a maximum of 10 hives), a greenhouse to grow produce and an aqua farm structure for raising fish. No retail sales of product will occur at the site. The property is approximately 2.70 acres in size and is located at 144 Elizabeth Lane, Mark Twain, Storey County, Nevada, Assessor’s Parcel Number (APN) 003-314-23.

Action: Approve, **Moved by** Commissioner Pellett, **Seconded by** Commissioner Thompson,

Public Comment: Steve Massicotte, Mark Twain resident: Wants more research completed before action on this tonight on impacts to children and people who are allergic. Opposed to this in a residential area.

Additional Discussion continued prior to the vote regarding adding conditions, potentially continuing this item in order to re-notice to a larger extent of the community because of specific property impacts, and holding the meeting in Mark Twain, then an amended motion was proposed.

Commissioner Thompson: asked if anything they have heard tonight changes their recommendation.

Planner Canfield: Said that from the research she did including outreach to nuisance officers in other counties, a beekeeper and entomologist for the state, and looking at as many ordinances as she could find, decided that 10 hives would be acceptable because there are so many variables. Bees are going to go where they want to go. It’s hard to control where they forage. Staff is still recommending approval.

Commissioner Thompson moved to **amend the motion** to include three additional conditions that no Africanized bees be allowed, the property must be owner occupied, and a requirement for an annual compliance review.

Motion: amend motion to include additional conditions: No Africanized bees permitted, property must be owner occupied, annual compliance review requirement, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by** Commissioner Thompson, **Seconded by** Commissioner Prater,

Public Comment: Rick Taylor: said you wouldn’t want Africanized bees anyway. There is not enough vegetation for this many bees.

Vote: Motion to amend with additional conditions carried by vote (**summary:** Yes=6, (Nay=1, Laura Kekule).

Planner Canfield read the findings into the record:

- (1) This approval is for Special Use Permit 2019-001 to operate a home enterprise. The home enterprise will consist of beekeeping (with a maximum of 10 hives), a greenhouse to grow produce and an Aqua farm structure for raising fish. No retail sales of product will occur at the site. The property is approximately 2.70 acres in size and is located at 144 Elizabeth Lane, Mark Twain, Storey County, Nevada, Assessor’s Parcel Number (APN) 003-314-23.
- (2) The proposed project complies with the general purpose, goals, objectives, and standards of the county master plan, this title, and any other plan, program, map, or ordinance adopted, or under consideration pursuant to official notice by the county.

- (3) The proposal location, size, height, operations, and other significant features will be compatible with and will not cause substantial negative impact on adjacent land uses, or will perform a function or provide a service that is essential to the surrounding land uses, community, and neighborhood.
- (4) The proposed project will result in no substantial or undue adverse effect on adjacent property, the character of the neighborhood, traffic conditions, parking, public improvements, public sites or right-of-way, or other matters affecting the public health, safety, and general welfare, either as they now exist or as they may in the future be developed as a result of the implementation of the provisions and policies of the county master plan, this title, and any other plans, program, map or ordinance adopted or under consideration pursuant to an official notice, by the county, or other governmental agency having jurisdiction to guide growth and development.
- (5) The proposed use in the proposed area will be adequately served by and will impose no undue burden on any of the improvements, facilities, utilities, or services provided by the county or other governmental agency having jurisdiction in the county.
- (6) The Special Use Permit conforms to the 2016 Storey County Master Plan for the Mark Twain planning area in which the subject property is located. A discussion supporting this finding is provided in Section 2.C of this staff report and the contents thereof are cited in an approval of this Special Use Permit.
- (7) The conditions under the Special Use Permit do not conflict with the minimum requirements in Storey County Code Sections 17.03.150, Special Use Permit and Section 17.40 E - Estate Zone.

Vote: Final Motion as amended carried by vote (**summary:** Yes=5), (Nay=2, John Herrington. Laura Kekule).

6. Discussion Only/No Possible Action: Text amendments to Storey County Code Title 17 Zoning regulating certain setback, height, bulk, area, dimension, and density and similar requirements; through lots; allowed uses and uses requiring a special use permit; parking, egress, travel, easement, right-of-way and similar requirements; accessory structures and accessory buildings including buildings, fences, facilities, shipping containers and certain accessory dwellings; and other properly related matters; and changing zone district titles including R1 Single-Family Residential to SFR Single-Family Residential, R2 Multi-Family Residential to MFR Multi-Family Residential, I1 Light Industrial to IL Light Industrial, I2 Heavy Industrial to IH Heavy Industrial, and adding IN Neighborhood Industrial classification and other properly related matters. The amendments will include chapters 17.12 General Provisions, 17.15 P Public, 17.24 A Agriculture, 17.28 C Commercial, 17.30 CR Commercial Residential, 17.32 F Forestry, 17.34 I1 Light Industrial, 17.35 I2 Heavy Industrial, 17.40 E Estate, 17.44 SPR Special Planning Review zones, and other properly related matters. Additional information including, but not limited to, draft text may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775.847.1144 or planning@storeycounty.org, or viewed online at <http://storeycounty.org/517/Updates>. In addition to the provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code and/or zoning ordinance.

Planner Canfield: Still working on clean up and clarifications of the code. Haven't made many changes since the last meeting. Also working on consolidating some of the animal use definitions.

Commissioner Pellett: Said in her review of the amendments, she found some inconsistencies in the language for setbacks for accessory structures, non-accessory dwellings (needs better wording), etc. Wording and language may need some clarification. Appears to be confusing language regarding setbacks for detached and attached accessory structures. It appears that there's a penalty for building an attached accessory structure regarding the setback distances as opposed to detached.

Discussion regarding setback differences between detached and attached accessory structures and open space on a lot. Also covered some zone language which needs clarification and consistency applied. Discussed new proposed zone Neighborhood Industrial. This would potentially apply to areas in Mark Twain and Lockwood at this point. Home Enterprises 17.12.023 needs some clarification on indoor and outdoor operations. Discussed potential problems that could occur with issuing an accessory dwelling unit permit as long as an active building permit is issued for a primary residence at the same time. Building code allows this.

Kris Thompson and Laura Kekule had to leave the meeting prior to adjournment.

7. **Discussion Only/No Possible Action.** Map amendments to the Official Storey County Zoning Map, changing zone districts R1 Single-Family Residential to SFR Single-Family Residential, R2 Multi-Family Residential to MFR Multi-Family Residential, I1 Light Industrial to IL Light Industrial, I2 Heavy Industrial to IH Heavy Industrial, and adding IN Neighborhood Industrial to the list of classified zones, affecting all listed zones in Storey County except those located within the annexed portions of the Tahoe-Reno Industrial Center, and other properly related matters. Additional information including, but not limited to, draft text and maps may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775.847.1144 or planning@storeycounty.org, or viewed online at <http://storeycounty.org/517/Updates>. In addition to the provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code and/or zoning ordinance.

Planning Director Osborne: Several zones on the maps are proposed to be re-labeled from R1 Single-Family Residential to SFR Single-Family Residential, R2 Multi-Family Residential to MFR Multi-Family Residential, I1 Light Industrial to IL Light Industrial, I2 Heavy Industrial to IH Heavy Industrial, and adding IN Neighborhood Industrial to the list of classified zones, affecting all listed zones in Storey County **except** those located within the annexed portions of the Tahoe-Reno Industrial Center. This is to make the maps consistent with the proposed text amendments.

8. **Discussion/Possible Action:** Determination of next planning commission meeting.

No Public Comment

Motion: Next planning commission meeting to be held on February 21, 2019 at 6:00 P.M. at the Lockwood Senior Center, Lockwood, Nevada, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by** Commissioner Prater, **Seconded by** Commissioner Collins, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote (**summary:** Yes=5). Commissioners Kekule and Thompson had to leave the meeting prior to this agenda item.

No Public Comment

9. **Discussion/Possible Action:** Approval of claims – None
10. **Correspondence (No Action)** – None
11. **Public Comment (No Action)** – None
12. **Staff (No Action)** – None
13. **Board Comments (No Action)** – Commissioner Herrington said that it is Austin’s birthday. Everyone sang Happy Birthday to Austin!
14. **Adjournment (No Action)** - The meeting was adjourned at 8:38 pm.

Respectfully Submitted, By Lyndi Renaud